


To: Chow, Eugenia[Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov]
From: Rebecca Bodnar
Sent: Thur 4/7/2016 8:19:21 PM
Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

No. The EAP is funded 100% by the State Response Grant; as is the Brownfields Program, Certification Program, and part of the Abandoned Mine Lands Program (the rest is the PA/SI grant). The work we do with Carson River Mercury Superfund Site and Yerington are funded by a Multi-site Collaborative Agreement Grant. We don't really do a lot with Anaconda.

We break out people's time accordingly. For example, Dave's time is split 50% to Brownfields, 25% to Superfund, and 30% to other BCA projects.

I supervise all of the programs as the "Superfund Branch Supervisor". Here is a rough organization chart:



From: Chow, Eugenia [mailto:Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 1:00 PM
To: Rebecca Bodnar
Subject: Re: clarification on 128a information request

Thanks Rebecca, appreciate the info. So is the remedial program (Anaconda, Carson river sites, etc.) also run out of the EAP?

From: Rebecca Bodnar <rebecca.bodnar@ndep.nv.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2016 7:48 AM

To: Chow, Eugenia

Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

Our State Response grant mainly supports the Brownfields Program and the Environmental Assistance Program. I supervise both. Dave manages the Brownfields Program, Hal Dawson (who just started two months ago) manages the EAP. The EAP is NDEP's hazardous waste response program. I feel that, in the past, the State Response grant's main focus has been on Brownfields and EAP has been in the shadows. It's an extremely important program that has needed attention. We are beginning to ramp it up with new and revised Geographic Response Plans, Hazardous Materials Response Plan, updating our portion of the State Comprehensive Environmental Management Plan, SOPs for the 24 hour spill hotline (including support response plans from every Bureau of NDEP) and outreach to the tribes and LEPCs.

Rebecca

From: Chow, Eugenia [<mailto:Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 9:14 PM

To: Rebecca Bodnar

Cc: David Friedman

Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

Hi Rebecca, I have a quick question, what exactly is the environmental assistance program (EAP)? Is it a different group of people that the BF program? Or the same -- you and Dave?

Thanks,

Eugenia

From: Rebecca Bodnar [<mailto:rebecca.bodnar@ndep.nv.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:19 PM

To: Chow, Eugenia <Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov>


Cc: jrcollins@ndep.nv.gov; David Friedman <dfriedman@ndep.nv.gov>

Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

Phew! Please see attached.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Rebecca J Bodnar



Rebecca J Bodnar, Supervisor

Superfund Branch

Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP

901 S. Stewart St., Suite 4001

Carson City, NV 89701

p: 775-687-9545 f: 775-687-8335

rebecca.bodnar@ndep.nv.gov

www.ndep.nv.gov

From: Chow, Eugenia [<mailto:Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 3:57 PM

To: Rebecca Bodnar

Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

Hi Rebecca, just checking in to see if you can send over whatever you have by 5pm today? I will be out much of tomorrow (until 3pm), so I would like to send something over to them tonight in case they have any questions.

I will be out of the office from 4/8 to 4/15 on vacation, returning 4/18.

Thanks,

Eugenia

From: Rebecca Bodnar [<mailto:rebecca.bodnar@ndep.nv.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 11:47 AM

To: Chow, Eugenia <Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: clarification on 128a information request

Hey Eugenia,

I just called and left you a voice mail. Dave and I are working on this information and will have it to you ASAP...although probably not by 12.

Thanks

Rebecca

From: Chow, Eugenia [<mailto:Chow.Eugenia@epa.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 4:15 PM

To: David Friedman; Rebecca Bodnar

Subject: clarification on 128a information request

Hi Dave and Rebecca,

We have just received clarification on the previous request from HQ. It now looks like they want this info from each state and it will be used in some manner to determine funding needs.

Could you please provide specific examples in response to each question by 12pm tomorrow if possible.

1. How well does the state work with tribes to address brownfields/ response program issues?
2. How well does the state work with the small and rural communities (i.e. "needy")
3. How well does the state provide meaningful opportunities of public engagement?

Thanks,

Eugenia